

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Advises of AdMISSA (NEW PERFORMAND TRADEMARK) WAS INSTEAD OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS AND TRAD

APPLICATION NO	THING DATE	FIRST Z ANTED IZATZ TOB	ZTTOBSES DOCKET ZO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 736 960	12.13.2000	Peter S. Lu	020054000511	8243

.7.

77.00

01.11.2002

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 EXAMINER BUNNER, BRIDGET E

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 01-11-2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	8	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/7	736,960	LUI FT AI
		Exa	miner	Art Unit
		Bride	get E. Bunner	1647
Period fo		unication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD	EOR REPLY IS S	ET TO EXPIRE 1	MONTH(S) FROM
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU insigns of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co period for reply specified above is less than thirty	NICAHÓN, ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In mmunication. (30) days, a reply within to statutory period will apply ply will, by statute, cause to	the statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) Note application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U S C. § 133)
1)	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 20 Senter	mher 2001	
-/∟ 2a)[]	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This acti		
3)		· 		natters, prosecution as to the merits is
٥/١	closed in accordance with the pra			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the	e application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	are withdrawn fro	m consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a)∏ accepted or	b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any o	objection to the draw	ing(s) be held in ab-	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🤄	The proposed drawing correction fi	led on is: a)	☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are	required in reply to the	his Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examine	er.	
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of			
	1. Certified copies of the priorit	ty documents have	e been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priorit			
	*	T of the procty do	cyments have bee	en received in this National Stage
سر رہے ہو۔	diknowiedgineni s – adc of dio din	Marganist prin	of a control of the	werter is a secondary at
) The translation of the foreign l			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim			
Attachmen	tisi			
n ∏ Note	e in References in the plant of the major e of Draffsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement's IIPTO 1449	P10-945 Paper No.s	N to	un de la estada de l La estada de la est
and the second				

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - A. Claims 1-4, 6-15, and 30, drawn to an isolated CLASP-5 polynucleotide, an expression vector, a host cell system, and a method of producing an CLASP-5 polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - B. Claims 5, 16-22, and 30, drawn to an isolated CLASP-5 polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - C. Claims 23-25 and 30, drawn to an isolated antibody that specifically binds a polypeptide and a hybridoma capable of secreting an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - D. Claim 26, drawn to a method of identifying a compound or agent that binds a CLASP-5 polypeptide comprising contacting a CLASP-5 polypeptide with the compounds and detecting the presence of a complex, classified in class 435, subclass 4, for example.
 - E. Claim 27, drawn to a method of detecting a CLASP-5 polypeptide comprising contacting the sample with an antibody and determining whether a complex has been formed, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - F. Claim 28, drawn to a method of detecting a CLASP-5 polypeptide in a sample comprising contacting the sample with a polynucleotide and determining whether a hybridization complex has been formed, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - G. Claim 29, drawn to a method of detecting a CLASP-5 nucleotide in a sample comprising using a polynucleotide in an amplification process and determining whether a specific amplification product has been formed, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - 11. Claims 31-33, drawn to a method of inhibiting an immune response in a subject comprising interfering with the expression of a CLASP-5 gene, interfering with the distribution of a CLASP-5 protein to bin 1 morber cell and interfering with the
 - Claim 34, drawn to a method of inhibiting an immune response in a subject comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of an

Application/Control Number: 09/736,960

Art Unit: 1647

15 "

antibody which specifically binds a polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 139 1

- J. Claims 35-37, drawn to a method of preventing or treating a CLASP-5-mediated autoimmune disease comprising administering to a subject in need thereof a therapeutically effective amount of a polynucleotide, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- K. Claims 35-37, drawn to a method of preventing or treating a CLASP-5-mediated autoimmune disease comprising administering to a subject in need thereof a therapeutically effective amount of a polypeptide, classified in class 512, subclass 2.
- L. Claims 35-37, drawn to a method of preventing or treating a CLASP-5-mediated autoimmune disease comprising administering to a subject in need thereof a therapeutically effective amount of an antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

a. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Groups A-C are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionally, are not required one for the other, and are therefore patentably distinct. Further, the protein of Group B can be prepared by processes which are materially different from recombinant DNA expression of Group A, such as by chemical synthesis, or by isolation and purification from natural sources. Additionally, the DNA of Group A can be used other than to make the protein of Group B, such in gene therapy or as a probe in nucleic acid hybridization assays. The protein of Group

Finally, although the analogic proceedings are a secure and Group A, it can also be used in materially different methods, such as in various

*

Application/Control Number: 09/736,960

Art Unit: 1647

diagnostic (e.g., as a probe in immunoassays or immunochromatography), or therapeutic methods.

Similarly, although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of b. Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Inventions D-L are different methods because they require different ingredients, process steps, and endpoints. Groups D-L are different methods requiring different method steps, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, Invention D requires search and consideration of identification of a compound or agent by contacting a CLASP-5 polypeptide with the compound or agent and detection of a complex, which is not required by the other inventions. Invention E requires search and consideration of detection of a CLASP-5 polypeptide in a sample by contacting the sample with an antibody and measuring complex formation, which is not required by the other inventions. Invention F requires search and consideration of detection of a CLASP-5 polypeptide in a sample by contacting the sample with a polynucleotide and measuring hybridization complex formation, which is not required by the other inventions. Invention G requires search and consideration of detection of a CLASP-5 nucleotide in a sample by utilizing an amplification process, which is not required by the other inventions. Invention II requires search and consideration of inhibition of an immune response in a subject and interference of CLASP-5 gene expression, CLASP-5 cell binding, and CLASP-5 protein binding, which is not required by the other inventions. Invention I requires search and consideration of inhibition of an and officacy of therapy of antibody administration.

treatment and prevention of a CLASP-5 mediated disease, which is not required to the second s

Application/Control Number: 09/736,960

Art Unit: 1647

of therapy of polypeptide administration for the treatment and prevention of a CLASP-5 mediated disease, which is not required by the other inventions. Invention L requires search and consideration of efficacy of therapy of antibody administration for the treatment and prevention of a CLASP-5 mediated disease, which is not required by the other inventions.

Page 5

- c. Inventions A and F/G/J are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product claimed can be used in materially different processes, such as DNA purification.
- d. Inventions B and D/H/K are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product claimed can be used as an antigen for the production of antibodies.
- e. Inventions C and E/IT, are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially

the standard of the second of

Art Unit: 1647

- f. Inventions A and D/F/H/I/K/L are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions of Groups A and D/E/H/I/K/L/ are unrelated product and methods, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, the claimed methods of Inventions D/E/H/I/K/L do not recite the use or production of the polynucleotide of Invention A.
 - g. Inventions B and E/F/G/I/J/L are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions of Groups B and E/F/G/I/J/L are unrelated product and methods, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, the claimed methods of Inventions E/F/G/I/J/L do not recite the use or production of the polypeptide of Invention B.
 - h. Inventions C and D/F/G/H/J/K are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of Groups C and D/F/G/H/J/K are unrelated product and methods, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, the claimed methods of Inventions D/F/G/H/J/K do not recite the use or production of the antibody of Invention C.

Decrease these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

Art Unit: 1647

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A method of inhibiting an immune response in a subject comprising interfering with the ability of a CLASP-5 protein to bind another cell wherein the cell is:

i. a T cell

ii. a B cell

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-32 and 36-39 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the Species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

or the states the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

4.10

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Application/Control Number: 09/736,960

Art Unit: 1647

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

If Applicant selects Invention H, one species from the cell type group must be chosen to be fully responsive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bridget E. Bunner whose telephone number is (703) 305-7148. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

BEB Art Unit 1647 January 10, 2002 Mary & Kunz